OPENING OF PHOSPHIRANE-TUNGSTEN-COMPLEXES BY NUCLEOPHILES

Angela MARINETTI and Francois MATHEY Laboratoire de Chimie du Phosphore et des Métaux de Transition DCPH - Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France

(Received in Belgium 8 June 1988)

 A bstract: The reactions of two phosphirane P-W(CO)₅ complexes with nitrogen, oxygen, phosphorus and sulphur nucleophiles have been studied. Except when the nucleophile is too bulky,the initial attack takes place at phosphorus. In this case, two main reaction paths have been observed : the first one is a ring opening giving a carbanion which may be protonated, or which can react with the W(CO)₅ group to yield a cyclic Fischer carbene complex. In the second reaction path, the whole carbon-carbon unit of the three-membered ring is lost and the final products formally result from the addition of the nucleophile onto the phosphinidene unit $[RP\rightarrow$ W(CO)₅]. Nitrogen and oxygen nucleophiles tend to follow the first path, whereas phosphorus and sulphur nucleophiles tend to follow the second one.

In spite of its early discovery by Wagner in $1963¹$, the phosphirane ring has mainly been studied from a structural and spectroscopic standpoint until now². Recently, several new syntheses of this ring have been devised with some emphasis on its stabilization by bulky substituents $^{3-8}$ or, in some cases, by complexation $^{9-10}.$ Nevertheless, a thorough investigation of the chemical reactivity of this heterocycle is still lacking today. This gap is undoubtedly due to the low stability and, hence, to the rather erratic reactivity of this highly strained system. An early study on the metalation of the P-H bond of the parent phosphirane showed that it was possible to observe a clean reactivity provided that the phosphorus atom was coordinated to a $M_0(C0)$ _r unit 11 . This observation led us to envisage a systematic study of the chemistry of the phosphirane ring in the coordination sphere of a metal.

This idea was all the more tempting since we had previously devised a very general and versatile synthesis of the phosphirane P-W(CO)₅ complexes ¹². (eq.1)

Our first results are reported hereafter.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to facilitate our subsequent work, we first decided to simplify the synthesis of the phosphirane complexes such as 2. Since the 7-phosphanorbornadiene precursors (1) are prepared from phosphole complexes $^{13},$ we tried to perform the direct conversion of phosphole into phosphirane complexes. We were indeed able to obtain directly the phosphirane complexes 5 and 6 from the readily available phosphole complexes 3 and 4 14 . (eq.2)

The two phosphirane complexes were both obtained as mixtures of two isomers as indicated by the 31 P NMR spectra (in toluene) :

 $\underline{5a}: 6^{31}P -156.5$ ppm; $\underline{5b}: 6^{31}P -151.1$ ppm; ratio $\underline{5a}$ / $\underline{5b}$ ca 3.6 $6a : 6^{31}P -177.1$ ppm; $6b : 6^{31}P -164.5$ ppm; ratio $6a / 6b$ ca 1.2 Since the time when our first paper was published 12 , we carried out the X-ray crystal structure analysis of a 1,2,3-triphenylphosphirane P-W(CO)₅ complex ¹⁵. This work demonstrated that the 2_J (H-P) coupling constant within the ring is high ($ca 8 Hz$) when H is trans to W, contrary</u> to what we expected, and low (ca 1 Hz) when H is cis to W. On this basis, we assign the following stereochemistries to these isomers (the structure of 5b is deduced from the structure of 5a since it has been impossible to isolate it in the pure state):

All the subsequent work was performed with the mixtures $\frac{5}{2}$ a+b and $\frac{6}{2}$ a+b. According to the preliminary indications of the literature 2 , it appeared that the reactivity of the phosphirane ring toward electrophiles is low and that it tends to polymerize in the presence of strong Lewis acids. On the contrary, a clean opening was observed in a particular case with a nucleophile such as methylenetrimethylphosphorane¹⁶. Thus, we decided to start our investigations with a systematic study of the reactivity of our complexes toward nucleophiles. With nitrogen nucleophiles, we observed three types of reactions. When using a very strong base such as $(i$ -Pr)₂NLi, a CH₂ α -proton is abstracted and the carbanion thus obtained rearranges to give the corresponding open-chain phosphanion I (eq.3).

A similar ring opening was observed by Quast in the reaction between R_2NLi and $1,2,3$ -tris (tert-butyl)phosphirane oxide`´. With a weaker and less hindered base such as PhNHLi, the attack takes place at phosphorus (eq.4).

If the nitrogen nucleophile has no N-H bond, then, of course, the transient carbanion resulting from the initial ring-opening cannot undergo a protonation as in the case of 9 . In that case, the attack of a $\underline{\text{cis}}$ W(CO)₅ carbonyl group is observed to give a carbene complex (eq.5)

On the 13 C NMR spectrum of 11, a characteristic carbenic resonance appears at 336.7 ppm. The story is far more simple with oxygen nucleophiles. In the two cases that we studied, we observed a ring opening similar to that depicted in equation 4 (eq.6).

$$
\frac{12}{2} a + b \frac{R0^{2}}{THF \text{ or } EtoH, 25^{\circ}C}
$$
\n
$$
P_{h} \wedge W(C0) = \frac{12}{2} , R = H, 77z
$$
\n
$$
P_{h} \wedge W(C0) = \frac{12}{3} , R = Et, 98z
$$
\n(6)

It must be noted however that the reaction with EtO⁻ was run in ethanol so that the transient carbanion could not escape protonation. In aprotic media, a cyclisation to give a carbene complex similar to 11 might have taken place instead. The behaviour of phosphorus nucleophiles is again completely different. In all cases, a loss of styrene was observed (eq.7-9).

Ph V (Et0j2P(0)Na r-1 ; H+ H e v R-P -P(OEt)2 I- R-p - P(ORt)2 A THP, 25°C R W(CO), 2 atb, R=Ph 5 atb, R=Me 1 w(coj5 :(co)5 14, R=Ph, 38% 15, R=Me, 60-80x 2 a+b Ph2PLi * Ph THF,-70°C 1 IMe ';" i R-P - P(OEtj2 , 16, R=Ph, 44% 11, R=Me, 32% ,-_) 1) IMe, leq Me ; P - PPh2 c Ph-; c 1 - PPh2 w(co)5 2) Sa , 25°C w(co)5 (7) (8) (9) fi (40 - 60%)

Finally, the behaviour of sulphur nucleophiles appears to be intermediate between those of nitrogen and phosphorus nucleophiles. With PhS⁻, the loss of styrene exclusively takes place (eq.10).

$$
\frac{5}{2} \text{ a+b } \xrightarrow{\text{PhSL1}} \text{Ph} - P - \text{SPh} \xrightarrow{\text{The} \atop \text{Ph} - P - \text{SPh}} \text{Ph} - \frac{P}{P} - \text{SPh} \tag{10}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{19 (827)}{19 (827)}
$$

On the contrary with $n-\text{Bus}$, the loss of styrene and the opening of the ring simultaneously occur (eq.11).

In that case, the reaction was followed by $^{\rm 31}$ P NMR spectroscopy but the products were not fully characterized.

If we exclude the particular case of the CH₂ metalation depicted in equation 3, it is possible to rationalize all the results that we have observed on the basis of a unique mechanism. Denney¹⁸ has demonstrated that phosphoranes including a phosphirane ring such as 20 can exist and that their stability is very dependent on the nature of the R substituents. Oxygen substituents stabilize the structure much more efficiently than sulphur substituents. The easy thermal decomposition of these phosphoranes yields the corresponding olefin and a tricoordinated phosphorus species (eq.12).

$$
\sum_{R}^{R} \frac{\Delta}{R} \longrightarrow (\text{12})
$$
 (12)

On this basis, we propose the following general scheme :

If the stability of the intermediate phosphorane 21 is too low, it rapidly decomposes to give styrene and the phosphido anion 22 (eq.13). If, on the contrary, the phosphorane is stable enough, the rearrangement giving the carbanion 23 (eq.14) can take place. Apparently, in line with the results of Denney, electronegative substituents such as $R_{2}N$ and RO stabilize $\underline{21}$ more efficiently than less electronegative substituents such as R_2P , $R_2P(0)$ and RS.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

 31 P NMR spectra : Brucker WP 80 at 32.435 MHz ; 1 H NMR : Brucker AC 200 SY at 200.132 MHz ; 13 C NMR : Brucker AC 200 SY at 50.323 MHz. IR spectra : Perkin Elmer Model 297. Mass spectra : Shimadzu GC MS QP 1000. Elemental analyses were performed by Service de Microanalyse du CNRS (Gif sur Yvette). Chromatographic separations were carried out on silica gel columns (70-230 mesh Riedel de Haën).

Synthesis of phosphirane W(CO)₅ complexes (5,6) General procedure :

(Phosphole) pentacarbonyltungsten complexes $(\frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{4})$ (20 mmol), dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (4.9 ml, 40 mmol) and styrene (3.7 ml, 32 mmol) were heated at 112°C in toluene (13 ml) for 24 h. The solvent was removed by evaporation. The residue was chromatographed with he xane.

5 a,b : Yield 80%. See ref. 12.

<u>6</u> a,b : Yield 64% ; <u>6a</u> : m.p. 62°C ; 'H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 0.35 (d, 'J(H-P)=6.9 Hz, PMe), 0.9 (m, $\overline{C_{2H}^{2}}$, 2.42 (t, $\overline{C_{J(H-H)}}$ =9.5 Hz, PCHPh), 6.7-7 (m, Ph) ; 13 C NMR (C₆D₆) δ 10.94 (d, 1 J(C-P) =15.1 Hz, PMe), 13.19 (d, ^J(C-P)=10.1 Hz, PCH₂), 27.82 (d, ^J(C-P)=14.6 Hz, PCHPh), 196.13 (d, ²J(C-P)=8.6 Hz, cis CO), 198.24 (d, ²J(C-P)= 30.2 Hz, trans CO) ppm ; IR (decalin) \vee (CO) 2070 (m), 1945 (vs) cm^{-1} ; mass spectrum (184 W) m/e (relative intensity) 474 (M,42), 342 (M-CO-PhCHCH₂, 100). Anal. calcd. for C₁₄H₁₁O₅PW : C, 35.47; H, 2.34. Found : C, 35.48; H, 2.76

 $\underline{6b}$: m.p. < 50°C ; ${}^{1}H$ NMR (C_6D_6) δ 0.71 (d, ${}^{2}J(H-P)=6.9$ Hz, PMe), 0.84 (m, 1H), 1.19 (m, 1H), - 2.07 (m, ~J(H-P)=7.8 Hz, PCHPh), 7.0 (m, Ph) ; ^~C NMR (C₆D₆) 613.76 (d, ~J(C-P)=12.6 Hz,PMe), 18.09 (d, ~J(C-P)=13.6 Hz, PCH₂), 27.64 (d, ⁺J(C-P)=15.1 Hz, PCHPh), 195.44 (d, ~J(C-P)=8.1 Hz, cis CO), 197.91 (d, $2J(C-P)=30.7$ Hz, trans CO); IR (decalin) \vee (CO) 2070 (m), 1950 (sh), 1940 (vs) cm $^{-1}$; mass spectrum (184 W) m/e 474 (M, 30), 342 (M–CO–PhCHCH $_2$, 100) ; Anal Found : C, 35.66 ; H, 2.43.

Ring opering with nitrogen nucleophiles. General procedure for reactions (3), (4) and (5) :

n-Butyllithium (1.3 mmol - hexane solution) was added at -78°C to a stirred solution of the amine (1.1 mmol) in THF. After a few minutes, phosphirane complex 5 (1 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred at -78°C for about 30 min and then allowed to warm to room temperature. Iodomethane (1.1 mmol) (reactions (3) and (4) or Rt_30+BR_4 ⁻ (1.1 mmol) (reaction (5)) were added at room temperature. Chromatographic purifications of the final products were performed with hexane or hexane/CH₂Cl₂ mixtures as eluent.

 $\frac{8}{5}$: Yield 97% ; oil ; 'H NMR (C₆D₆) 6 1.54 (d, ²J(H-P)=7.1 Hz, PCH₃), 6.35 (<u>A</u>BX, ³J(H-H)=17.1 Hz, $\texttt{J(H-P)=14.9 Hz, PCH)}$, 6.9-7.4 (m) ; $\texttt{'}^{\texttt{'}C}$ NMR $\texttt{(C}_{6}\texttt{D}_{6})$ 618.69 (d, $\texttt{'}^{\texttt{J(C-P)=30.7 Hz, PCH}_{3}}$), 125.16 (d, 'J(C-P)=41.8 Hz, P-CH), 144.74 (d, *J(C-P)=9.1 Hz, CHPh), 197.40 (d, *J(C-P)=6.0 Hz, cis CO), 199.78 (d, $2J(C-P)=19.6$ Hz, trans CO) ppm; IR (decalin)v (CO) 2070 (m), 1940 (vs) cm $^{-1}$; mass spectrum (184 W) m/e 550 (M, 17), 410 (M-5CO, 100) ; Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₁₅ 0_5 PW : C, 43.66 ; H, 2.75. Found : C, 43.94 ; H, 2.87.

 $\frac{10}{10}$: Yield 79% ; m.p. 134°C ; $\frac{31}{10}$ NMR (C₆D₆) δ 65.4 ($\frac{1}{3}$ (P-W)=266 Hz) ; $\frac{1}{10}$ NMR (C₆D₆) δ 2.2-2.5 (m), 2.5-2.8 (m), 2.68 (d, J(H-P)=7.7 Hz, NMe), 3.0-3.3 (m), 6.8-7.3 (m) ; $\sqrt[12]{C}$ NMR (C₆D₆) δ 31.04 (s, CH₂Ph), 36.69 (d, 1 cis CO), 199.26 (d, ["]J $J(C-P)=27.2$ Hz, PCH₂), 40.87 (s, NMe), 197.21 (d, $J(C-P)=7.0$ Hz, J(C-P)=23.15 Hz, trans CO) ppm ; IR (decalin) v(C0) 2075 (m), 1940 (vs) cm $^{-1}$; mass spectrum (184 W) m/e 643 (M,16), 453 (M–3CO-PhNMe, 100) ; Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₂₂ $NO₅PW : C$, 48.54; H, 3.45; N, 2.18. Found: C, 48,49; H, 3.30; N, 2.13.

 11 a,b : Yield 61% - 11 b : minor isomer ; ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆)6 83.9ppm. \equiv $\frac{11}{2}$ a : m.p; 149°C ; $\frac{3}{2}$ P NMR (C₆D₆) δ 86.2 ($\frac{1}{3}$ (P-W)=263.7 Hz) ; $\frac{1}{1}$ NMR (C₆D₆) δ 0.88 (t, $\frac{3}{3}$ (H-H)= 7.2 Hz, CH₃), 2.3 (m, 1H), 2.7 (m, 1H), 3.1 (m, 1H), 4.63 (q, 0CH₂), 6.7-7 (m, Ph), 8.0 (m, 2H, Ph) ; C^{D} NMR (C₆D₆) δ 14.24 (s, CH₃), 44.98 (d, 'J(C-P)=26.2 Hz, PCH₂), 71.71 (d, 'J(C-P)= 12.1 Hz, CHPh), 80.53 (s, OCH₂), 201.93 (d, ~J(C-P)=7.6 Hz, CO cis to P and carbene, 202.37 (d, $^{2}J(C-P)=6.0$ Hz, CO cis to P and carbene), 209.21 (d, $^{2}J(C-P)=32.2$ Hz, CO trans to P), 216.65 (d, $2J(C-P)=7.1$ Hz, CO cis to P and trans to carbene), 336.69 (C=W) ppm; IR (decalin) v(CO) 2020 (s), 1935 (s), 1918 (vs), 1895 (s) cm⁻¹; Mass spectrum (¹⁸⁴W) m/e 733 (M, 5), 649 (M-3CO, 8), 621 (M-4CO, 7), 276 (PhPNPh₂, 100) ; Anal. Calcd for C₃₃H₂₈O₅NPW : C, 54.04 ; H, 3.85 ; N, 1.91. Found : C, 54.08 ; H, 3.78 ; N, 2.07.

Ring opening with oxygen nucleophiles

Hydrolysis of complex 5 a.b. Complex 5 a,b (1 mmol) was hydrolyzed in THF/H₂0 with KOH at room temperature. After lh, THF was evaporated, the residue extracted with ether and purified by chromatography with hexane/ether (80:20).

<u>12</u> : Yield 77% ; oil ; ³¹P NMR (C₆D₆) 699.4 ('J(P-W)=268.6 Hz) ; 'H NMR (C₆D₆) 62.0-2.8 (m, 4H), 2.98 (1H, PO<u>H</u>), 6.8-7.3 (m, Ph) ; ⁻³C NMR (C_cD₆) 6 29.69 (s, CH₂Ph), 42.1 (d, ⁻J(C-P)= 23.7 Hz, PCH₂), 196.82 (d, ⁻J(C-P)=7.5 Hz, cis CO), 199.62 (d, ⁻J(C-P)=23.1 Hz, trans CO) ppm ; IR (decalin) \vee (CO) 2065 (m), 1960 (sh), 1940 (vs) cm⁻¹ ; mass spectrum (¹⁸⁴W) m/e 544 (M, 20), 414 (M-5C0, 100).

Reaction of 5 a,b with sodium ethoxide. Complex 5 a,b (1 mmol) was added at room temperature to an excess (1:2.5) of sodium ethoxide in ethanol. After lh the reaction mixture was hydrolyzed with acetic acid. Evaporation of the solvent, extraction with ether and chromatography with hexane gave pure 13 as a colorless solid.

 $\frac{13}{11}$: Yield 98%; m.p.< 50°C; $\frac{3}{1}$ P NMR (hexane)6 121.8 ($\frac{1}{3}$ (P-W)=275.9 Hz); $\frac{11}{11}$ NMR (C₆D₆)6 0.95 (t, J(H-H)=6.9 Hz, Me), 2.3-2.9 (m, 4H), 3.34 (m, J(H-P)=7.0 Hz, OCH₂), 6.9-7.4 (m, Ph) ; 13° C NMR (C₆D₆) δ 16.16 (d, 3J(C-P)=7.5 Hz, CH₃), 29.94 (s, CH₂Ph), 39.25 (d, 3J(C-P)=22.6 Hz, PCH₂), 63.33 (d, ²J(C-P)=5.0 Hz, OCH₂), 197.75 (d, ²J(C-P)=7.5 Hz, cis CO), 199.55 (d, ²J(C-P) =24.2 Hz, trans CO) ppm ; IR (decalin) \vee (CO) 2070 (m), 1950 (s), 1940 (vs) cm⁻¹; mass spec- trum (¹⁸⁴W) m/e 583 (M+1, 19), 499 (M+1-3CO, 100), 442 (M-5CO, 94); Anal. Calcd. for C₂₁H₁₉ O_6 PW : C, 43.32 ; H, 3.29. Found : C, 43.25 ; H, 3.22.

Ring opening with phosphorus nucleophiles

Reaction of 5 a,b with sodium diethylphosphite. Diethylphosphite (1.1 mmol) was added slowly at room temperature to 120 mg of Na H (1.3 mmol) in THF. After the H_2 evolution had ceased complex 2 a,b (1 mmol) was added. The mixture was **Stirred** at room temperature **for** 24h. The anion was then trapped :

a) by adding aqueous hydrocloric acid at 0° C (pH \sim 6) to give 14

b) by adding an excess ICH₃ at 0° C to give 16

After extraction of the reaction mixture with ether the final product was purified by chromatography with hexane/ether (60:40).

 $\frac{14}{2}$: Yield 38%; m.p. 55°C; $\frac{3}{2}$ P NMR (hexane/ether)o 20.4 (AB, $\frac{1}{2}$ (P-P)=83.0 Hz, P(O)(OEt)₂), -52.1 (A<u>B</u>, 'J(P-<u>W</u>)=225 Hz) ; 'H NMR (C₆D₆) 66.73 (t, "J(H-H)=7.1 Hz, CH₃), 6.74 (t, 'J(H-H*)* =7.1 Hz, CH₃), 3.7 (m, 4H, CH₂), 5.55 (d, 'J(H-P)=340.0 Hz, PH), 6.9 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.5 (m, 2H, Ph) ppm ; IR (decalin)v (CO) 2070 (m), 1950 (vs) cm $^{-1}$; mass spectrum (43 W) m/e 570 (M, 10), 430 (M-5CO, 60), 338 (WPPh HOEt, 100) ; Anal. Calcd. for $C_{15}H_{16}O_8P_2W : C$, 31.60 ; H, 2.83. Found : C, 31.43 ; H, 2.83.

 $\underline{16}$: Yield 70% ; m.p.< 50°C ; $\mathrm{^{31}P}$ NMR (hexane/ether)δ 23.5 ($\underline{\Delta}$ B, $\mathrm{^{1}J(P-P)}$ =78.1 Hz, P(O)(OEt) $_2$), $=$ $\frac{1}{27.7}$ (AB, 1 J(P-W)=234 Hz); 1 H NMR (C₆D₆) 60.83 (t, ³J(H-H)=7.1 Hz, CH₃), 0.90 (t, ³J(H-H)= 7.1 Hz, CH $_{\rm \gamma}$), 1.83 (dd, 'J(H-P)=13.6 Hz, 'J(H-P)=6.8 Hz, PMe), 3.7 (m, 4H, CH $_{\rm \gamma}$), 6.9 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.6 (m, 2H, Ph) ; IR (decalin) v(CO) 2070 (m), 1950 (sh), 1940 (vs) ; mass spectrum

 (184) m/e 556 (M-CO, 10), 444 (M-5CO, 36), 352 (WPPh Me OEt, 100).

Reaction of 6 a,b with sodium diethylphosphite. Diethylphosphite (1.1 mmol) was added slowly at room temperature to 120 mg of **Na** H (1.3 **mm01** -60% dispersion in mineral oil) in THF. After the H₂ evolution had ceased, 1 mmol of complex $\underline{6}$ a,b was added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2h ; the anion was then trapped :

a) by adding aqueous hydrocloric acid at 0° C (pH \sim 6) to give 15

b) by adding at 0° C an excess ICH₃ to give 17

After hydrolysis, evaporation of THF and extraction with ether, the final product was purified by chromatography with hexane/ether (60:40).

 $\underline{\frac{15}{1}}$: Yield 60% ; oil ; $\tilde{J}(P-W)=225$ Hz) ; ² P NMR (hexane/ether) δ 22.4 (AB, ⁻J(P-P)=100.1 Hz, P(O)(OEt)₂), -84.9 (A<u>B</u>, ^J(P-W)=225 Hz) ; ^H NMR (C₆D₆) 60.95 (t, ~J(H-H)=7.0 Hz, CH₃), 0.96 (t, ~J(H-H)=7.0 Hz, CH₃), 1.26 (m, 3H, PMe), 3.41 (m, 4H, OCH₃), 4.26 (m, ⁻J(H-P)=333.9 Hz, ⁻J(H-H)=6.9 Hz, ⁻J(H-P) =2.0 Hz, PH) ppm. IR (decalin) v(CO) 2070 (m), 1945 (vs) cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (¹⁸⁴W) m/e 508 (M, 32), 452 (M-2CO, 72), 368 (M-5CO, 100). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{10}H_{14}O_8P_2W$: C, 23.64 ; H, 2.78. Found : C, 23.90 ; H, 2.72.

17: Yield 32% ; m.p. 51°C ; -43.0 (AB, $J(P-W)=229$ Hz) P^2 P NMR (hexane/ether)o 25.7 (AB, $\text{J}(P-P)=87.9$ Hz, $P(O)(OEt)_{2}$), 13.9 Hz, $J(J(H-P)=7.2$ Hz, PMe), 3.85 (m, 4H, OCH₃) ppm ; IR (decalin) \vee (CO) 2065 (m), 1950 ; ¹H NMR (C_eD_e) 60.96 (t, ³J(H-H)=7.1 Hz, CH₃), 1.35 (dd, ²J(H-P)= (sh), 1940 (vs) cm $^{-1}$; mass spectrum (184 W) m/e 522 (M, 9), 410 (M-5CO, 100). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{11}H_{16}O_8P_2W : C$, 25.31 ; H, 3.09. Found : C, 25.23 ; H, 3.26.

Reaction of 5 a,b with lithium diphenylphosphide. nBuLi (1,2 mmol) (1.6 M solution in hexane) was added at -70°C to a solution of diphenylphosphine (1.1 mmol) in THF. After about 10 minutes, phosphirane complex 5 a, b (1 mmol) was added and allowed to react for 30 min. at -70° C. Iodomethane (1 mmol) was then added, and the reaction mixture warmed to room temperature. Sulphur (1.1 mmol) was then added and the reaction was monitored by $\mathrm{^{31}P}$ NMR. Careful colum chromatography with hexane/ether (98:2) and crystallization are necessary to purify 18 from minor side products.

 $\frac{18}{24}$: Yield 60%; m.p. 97°C; $\frac{31}{P}$ NMR (THF) 647.7 (\underline{AB} , $\frac{1}{3}$ (P-P)=129 Hz, P(S)Ph₂), 3.0 (\underline{AB} , $\frac{1}{3}$ $(P-W)=244$ Hz); $H NMR (C_{e}D_{e}) \delta 1.98$ (dd, $2J(H-P)=11.6$ Hz, $3J(H-P)=5.2$ Hz, PMe), 6.8-8.4 (m,Ph) ppm ; IR (decalin) \vee (CO) 2070 (m), 1950 (vs), 1940 (vs) cm⁻¹ ; mass spectrum (¹⁶⁴W) m/e 636 $(M-CO, 25)$, 524 $(M-5CO, 100)$; Anal. Calcd. for $C_{24}H_{18}O_EP_2SW : C$, 43.40; H, 2.73. Found: C, 43.38 ; H, 3.15.

Ring opening with sulphur nucleophiles

Reaction of 5 a,b with lithium phenylsulfide. Thiophenol (1.2 mmol) was allowed to react with n -BuLi (1.3 mmol) in THF at -70°C. Phosphirane complex 5 a,b (1 mmol) was then added and allowed to react at room temperature for about lh. Iodomethane (1.1 mmol) was added at 0°C. After hydrolysis, the solvent was removed. Extraction and chromatography with hexane gave pure 19.

<u>19</u> : Yield 82% ; m.p. 102°C ; ³¹P NMR (CH₂C1₂) δ 23.7 (¹J(P-W)=247 Hz) ; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆) δ 1.62 (d, \sim J(H-P)=5.3 Hz, PMe), 6.6–7.3 (m, Ph) ppm ; IR (decalin) \vee (CO) 2070 (m), 1940 (vs) cm⁻¹; mass spectrum (184) m/e 556 (M, 17), 416 (M-5CO, 100) ; Anal. Calcd. for $C_{18}H_{13}O_5$ PSW : C, 38.87 ; H, 2.36. Found : C, 39.08 ; H, 2.37.

REFERENCES

- l-Wagner R.I., US patents 3 086 053 and 3 086 056 , 1963 ; Chem. Abstr. 1963, 59, 10124 ; Wagner R.I., Freeman L.V.D., Goldwhite H. and Rowsell D.G., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, <u>89</u>, 1102
- 2 Two recent reviews are available on this topic : Golobov Yu.G., Gusar' N.I. and Tarasevich A.S., Russian Chem. Rev. 1983, 52, 446 . Mathey F. and Marinetti A., Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 1984, 93, 533
- 3 Oshikawa T. and Yamashita M., Synthesis 1985, 290
- 4 Baudler M. and Germeshansen J., Chem. Ber. 1985, <u>118</u>, 4285
- 5 Yoshifuji M., Toyota K. and Inamoto N., Chem. Lett. 1985, 441
- 6 Appel R. and Casser C., Chem. Ber., 1985, <u>118</u>, 3419
- 7 Appel R., Gaitzsch T., Knoch F. and Lenz G., Chem. Ber., 1986, 119, 1977
- 8 Oshikawa T. and Yamashita M., Bull. Chem. Sot. Japan, 1986. 59, 3293
- 9 Braun R. and Fluck E., Chem. Ztg., 1986, <u>110</u>, 379
- 10 Borm J., Huttner G. and Orama O., J. Organomet. Chem., 1986, 306, 29
- 11 Bausch R., Ebsworth E.A.V. and Rankin D.W.H., Angew. Chem., Internat. Ed. Engl.,1971, 10, 125
- 12 Marinetti A. and Mathey F., Organometallics, 1984, 3, 456
- 13 Marinetti A., Mathey F., Fischer J. and Mitschler A., J. Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun. 1982, 667
- 14 l-Phenyl-3,4-dimethylphosphole is very easily made : Breque A., Mathey F. and Savignac Ph., Synthesis 1981, 983 ; its conversion into complex $\frac{4}{3}$ is described in : Holand S., Mathey F. and Fischer J., Polyhedron 1986, 5, 1413
- 15 Ricard L., unpublished results
- 16 Benn R., Mynott R., Richter W.J. and Schroth G., Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 3273
- 17 Quast H. and Heuschmann M., Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1981, 977
- 18 Campbell B.C., Denney D.B.,Denney D.Z. and Shih L.S., J. Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun. 1978, 854 ; Denney D.B. and Shih L.S., J. Am. Chem. Soc.,1974, 96, 317